



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,889	03/31/2004	Ori Gerstel	100101-000300US	9087
37490 7590 08/06/2009 Trellis Intellectual Property Law Group, PC 1900 EMBARCADERO ROAD SUITE 109 PALO ALTO, CA 94303				
EXAMINER				
ENG, DAVID Y				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2455				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/06/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

megan@trellislaw.com

jack@trellislaw.com

docket@trellislaw.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/815,889

Applicant(s)

GERSTEL ET AL.

Examiner

DAVID Y. ENG

Art Unit

2455

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID Y. ENG.

(3) _____.

(2) Joseph L. Aacvaan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 21, 22 and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: The Metro Planner by CISCO and Blouin.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discuss the Section 112, 1st paragraph Rejection. No agreement was reached. The Examiner said that no patentable subject matter is seen in the current claims. Applicants said they may redirect the claims to the subject matter disclosed in [23], maximum volume or in [0035], efficiency of the LoRR. The Examiner said no comment can be made because those subject matters are different than the current claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/DAVID Y. ENG/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2455